



World Peace Catalyst Fund

The Treatises of World Peace

A publication on a social mechanism guaranteed to bring world peace.

By

Mudib Rawoot

President of the World Peace Catalyst Fund

11/1/2022

“O assembly of jinn and humans! If you can penetrate beyond the realms of the heavens and the earth, then do so. ‘But’ you cannot do that without ‘Our’ authority.” (Quran, 55:33)



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Foreword

I would like to give you a quick introduction to who I am and what this is, so you have a good idea of what you're buying into. I am educated as an engineer. My master's degree is from a top-3 engineering college (Harvard of engineering). The application and social mechanism I propose is a new way to look at and do things. I think it is divinely inspired and I hope it will change the world. These things do come to people with my background and education, and I have reasoned with this as best as I could so that it does not sound far-fetched. I think the argument is without fallacy and relies on sound reasoning. Fear not that you may not understand, for, it uses everyday concepts and ideas everyone has been exposed to and should understand.

In retrospect, it appears as though my life has been geared toward this. I came to America from India with my mother as an immigrant when I was just a year old. I went through the whole assimilation phase. I have seen the cross-cultural gap, boundaries, and communication problems first-hand my whole life. In high school and college, I would get into intense debates with my Jewish roommate over international politics and philosophy. He would later graduate from a top 5 law school and make one of those top 40 under 40 lists. I learned the fine arts of chemistry and saw the beauty of the world from the natural science standpoint. I have studied with the best students the world had to offer the hardest subjects known to man. This is what I have come up with. I will continue to push this forward until someone produces a good reason as to why it won't work.

I ask three things of you. The first is that you download and use the application daily after imbibing and understanding the material I present. The second is that you spread the word by introducing the concepts in these papers to your friends and family through conversation. Each report is a conversation piece and can be understood by the masses. Your conversations over each report will help establish the validity of the argument and will increase your understanding, apart from helping the proliferation of the application, thus furthering world peace. My third request is that you donate, so that this may grow, multiply manifold, and bring peace to the world. Many people give to charity, but I do not think they know how much to give. Given the melting pot that America is, I want to introduce the Islamic concept of Zakat, giving 2.5% of your earnings to charity. It's just a starting point; you may give as much as you wish. I ask for 2.5% of your yearly salary or a fraction thereof to keep this project alive and productive. If you are one who does not give to charity, shame on you, may you lose your wealth.

Sincerely,

Mudib Rawoot

President, World Peace Catalyst Fund



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Overview

The purpose of this report is to offer a high-level introduction to a social mechanism that guarantees world peace. I have created 10 reports that provide in-depth answers to the who, what, when, where why, and how of the social mechanism. This social mechanism involves the use of Icebreakers as a semiotic cross-cultural communication device.

This is the basic argument for World Peace. By 'connecting the dots' and tying all the reports together, you will see how World Peace can be achieved. The argument is post-modern and draws from religious concepts that everyone can appreciate and should agree with. For those familiar with argument formulation, the axioms are drawn from the Quran, the only book that has never changed, and is without fallacious reasoning as I know it. The same concepts should apply to your religion from a high level.

To answer the question 'Why to use Icebreakers?', I have created four reports. To answer the question 'What is 'Icebreakers'?', I have created a storyboard page that offers a step-by-step guide to the mechanism I describe. To answer the question 'How is the use of Icebreakers going to bring World Peace?', I have created five reports. The who, when, and where are answered simply by you and anywhere at any time, as Icebreakers is a mobile application that anyone with digital access can use to push for world peace.

Why use Icebreakers?

The first report is called 'Image Mediated Conversations' and illustrates why Icebreakers can reveal the latent bonds of society. It explains the design of Icebreakers and the purpose of the images in the conversation. I use a verse from the Quran to showcase the power of randomly drawn images.

The second report, 'Statistical Argument for World Peace' highlights why World Peace is possible and why it is a reasonable expectation within our lifetimes. It has been understood qualitatively that if we knew enough about each other, we would know what to do and say to avoid conflict. I provide a quantitative analysis drawing on analogous arguments from the sciences to establish that World Peace is a viable reality and is the natural progression of humankind.

The third report, 'Barriers to Communication' shows why Icebreakers is different from conventional social media. It explains how the communication barrier is reduced by 90%, compared to the 10% by conventional social media. It also demonstrates that there is complete social safety when using Icebreakers.

The fourth report, 'Power of Anonymity' shows why Icebreakers uses anonymity in establishing initial connections. It further explains the design and power of Icebreakers. It reiterates the aspect of social safety.

What is Icebreakers?

Icebreakers is a new semiotic social media application that reduces the communication barrier by 90% and is intelligently designed to reveal the latent bonds of society. For these reasons, the



World Peace Catalyst Fund

World Peace Catalyst Fund is trying to popularize the use of Icebreakers as a social media application to make initial contact with friends. Follow our storyboard, located at www.worldpeacecatalystfund.org/#/appdesign for a step-by-step guide to the mechanism.

How?

The first report, 'Mass Systemic Questioning', explains how the social mechanism if performed by the masses, will uncover thousands of years of understanding daily through social mining with Icebreakers. Albeit weird, it is safe and seems sure to guarantee results. I use a verse from the Quran to show that there we as humans have been charged to learn about each other and that the use of Icebreakers brings us closer to God, as well as World Peace.

The second report, 'Conversation Wells', shows how the current progression of affairs between two hostile nations proceeds down a standard path toward violence. It also shows how the social bonds of society, revealed through your use of Icebreakers, can be used to avert war.

The third report, 'Current Politics/Future Politics' showcases how the current mechanism of politics works and offers an alternative future mechanism of politics with the knowledge gained from the mass use of Icebreakers. It further shows how wars can be stopped and explains the power of the people.

The fourth report 'Case Study – Russia/Ukraine War', shows how these concepts apply to real-life modern-day situations. These concepts can be abstracted to any ongoing two-nation hostility (war) in the world. The report gives a clear example of how the use of the social bonds between Russia and Ukraine created a force against war. You will clearly see the potential of Icebreakers from this report.

The fifth report, 'Final States', proves how the world could look and be governed in a final state of world peace. I offer a way for the world to come together to one table and deal with their internal and foreign affairs with little disruption to their current mode of practice. This is just one potential solution but gives a clear illustration of the way the world could work.

In conclusion, the social mechanism the World Peace Catalyst Fund provides allows anyone with digital access to push for world peace. Each report delves deep into one particular aspect, and you can connect the reports to draw a holistic picture of World Peace. Your use and propagation of Icebreakers will bring peace rapidly. Every day, you should attempt to converse with someone from a different country and steadily build bricks of society. Politicians can then construct the House of Peace with the bricks you made.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Why Use Icebreakers?

Report I. Image Mediated Conversations

Report II. Statistical Argument for World Peace

Report III. Barriers to Communication

Report IV. Power of Anonymity



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Image-mediated communication

This article aims to explain the power of using images to mediate the conversation between two people. It goes on to show that Icebreakers is the app to use to create cross-cultural connections because you can make a meaningful connection very fast. To understand the purpose the images serve in the conversations, we should take a look at the single person usage. We will also look at such concepts as a universal language, the rationale for using symbols, semiotics, and the Quranic knowledge involved.

For a brief recap, let's go over what Icebreakers is. Icebreakers is a new social semiotic application. Users ask a question to each other by picking a few symbols to represent the question. The application then retrieves an image stream thought to contain a message that represents an answer to the question. Users can use the app to answer their own questions or to hold a conversation with someone else. Users remain anonymous, with only non-unique identifiers displayed.

Let's go over the concept of divine messages in everyday life. All religions consider that God or some higher power sends messages in everyday life. In these messages, certain actions, objects, or events can serve to symbolize a greater meaning in context. Another concept prevalent in religion is that God controls everything. Everything is in His power and the messages, which require a very high order of organization and control, are an indication that He controls everything. It is important to note that while everything is in God's power, it is very unlikely that the rules of physics will be broken when one of these messages is sent.

I'm of the thought that God is merciful and wants to answer us every time we reach out to him. In this limit that the laws of physics must not be broken, the implication is that not everyone receives messages as often as they ask. I suppose that there are people who receive His messages regularly, but these are people who have attained a level of understanding and a relationship with God so that it is such. In Islam, these people are said to have mastered their Deen.

Now, consider a series of randomly drawn images and further consider whether the series of images conveys a message or not. I envisage five possibilities concerning a message being formed:

1. The images are random and there is no message.
2. It is a message because that is the way it works. (As in, we ask a question and the answer comes to us).
3. It is a message through some metaphysical mechanism of the heart that is not understood. (Albeit random images, maybe the heart has an affect...The faculty of heart is something we do not full understand).
4. It is a message inspired by the divine (Remember, God controls everything; so, this is easy to do and does not break the rules of physics).
5. The devil or his minions are trying to misguide you (They may be able to exert some control on which images get chosen and they are always trying to misguide humankind).

It is very clear, that although there are 5 possible ways that it could work, there are 2 basic outcomes, either the images form a message or not. The actual mechanism and reasoning to why the images form a message is unknown and requires further thought and experiment. At the present time, I can only devise an experiment to show that we have a significant effect on drawing a message from randomly



World Peace Catalyst Fund

chosen images. My hypothesis is that humans have a divine ability to draw a coherent and relevant message from randomly drawn images based off symbolic questioning. My preliminary experimentation shows this is true, I plan to create an experiment for you to conduct on your own.

Another question that comes to my mind is, how do you differentiate between a divinely inspired message and one from the devil? You may already know the answer to this or are at least familiar with the concepts involved. The Quran has many verses that support the idea that the messages from the heavens are clear, and we recognize them as different. Take a look at this verse from the Quran:

“Say: ‘Praise be to God. He will show you His Signs and you will recognize them. Your Lord is not heedless of anything you do.’” (Qur’an, 27:93).

You get the idea when God sends a message, it is clear, compared to one received from the devil.

The next point I would like to draw your attention to is how many messages can be formed. There are 750 billion images on the internet. You know the saying about an image being equal to a thousand words. That means there are over 750 thousand billion words that can be formed. You can rationalize that: with so many words to choose from, any message can be formed by gathering a series of images.

Consider symbols and imagery as a language. One image or symbol can connote multiple meanings. Symbols are a sort of universal language. Supposing there is a universal language, it is easy to conceive that there is probably a common understanding that we can agree upon. Actually, many world peace organizations focus on establishing a common understanding between human relations. In fact, this common understanding is in the process of being developed and has been developing over thousands of years. The social mechanism I am proposing speeds up the process of discovering this common understanding.

I want to show why using symbols to question is powerful. Everyone can draw their own meaning from symbols. In fact, we have developed our own languages based on different valuation of symbolic occurrences. Now consider that some symbols hold a universal truth, meaning that everyone can derive the same meaning from the symbol. This type of relevance means that you can pose any question by picking a few symbols and most people will understand it. If we had a list of all the symbols that held a universal truth, we would have a universal language to engage with to develop a common understanding. Thus, everything can be said with symbols and they form the basis of human thought.

Not only will most people understand it, it is an effective way to communicate. When you pick a few symbols to represent a question, and you search for random images based off those symbols, you are not drawing completely random images anymore. The universal language in symbols means that you point the computer to draw images from a specific subset of images. This subset may have a relevant images that answer your question.

This point is abstract and difficult to explain. Symbolic questioning gives a direction to the image picking algorithm. The randomness part is where the magic happens. I argue that things happen for a reason and are not entirely random. Be it one of the three possibilities I laid out for a coherent message or some other unknown reason, the fact that we can point the image picking algorithm in a direction makes it infinitely easier to pick out images that pertain a message.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Putting the points together with the idea that God controls everything, if you drew random images, you could potentially receive a divine message. It would never break the rules of physics, and everything could be communicated. This makes sending a divine message more plausible and easier to send more frequently.

One of the questions I had while formulating this whole concept is, how often could one receive a divine message? I am from the sciences and most things in nature follow what is called a normal distribution. I hypothesize that the distribution of frequency increases and would follow what would be seen as a natural distribution of frequency. That means about 65% of people will be able to receive a message 65% of the time. We won't know this for certain until enough people are using the application.

We know that God guides whomever He wants, and this can be considered a sort of guidance. I rationalized that there was probably a hierarchy of questions that could be asked and potentially be answered, depending only on your relationship with God. This is akin to those who have mastered their Deen receiving what they want. There are some questions everyone can ask and some only some people can ask. For example, everyone can ask for the guidance they need for tomorrow and reasonably expect an intelligible answer.

This is called divination. You may be familiar with the term, and it is shunned in religion. I met with an Imam to ask whether this type of questioning was as per the rules of Islam. He said it is not, because you cannot create something that expects God's response at the push of a button. I think it is safe to say that it is the same in other major religions. What I would like to consider is the power of using this concept in cross-cultural communication. The cross-cultural aspect was approved by the Imam and I suppose it is similar in other major religions as well.

When I went to the Imam a second time to discuss the possibility of it being a heart effect. He was utterly confused. He was not sure if it was divination anymore. I just want to note this for anyone interested in giving this app a try. It is a grey area in the religions, and is not fully understood. If it is a heart effect that we are dealing with, there should be nothing wrong. However if it is divination, it is illegal in most religions. Experimentation and thought will have to be given to this project to clear up this dilemma.

I want to contrast this design with another experimental semiotic device that was created by the department of defense. In an effort to predict 9-11 type disasters, the DoD wanted to see if you could predict future events through analysis of written text. This would be like newspaper articles from around the world being fed through a machine. The machine then says xyz will likely happen tomorrow.

It is known that sentence can be analyzed to pick out the concepts in it. In fact, a sentence converges on a few ideas. The idea is that all the ideas being written about in the world today will naturally show something of tomorrow. This never worked and I can explain why. Although a sentence converges to a few concepts, by taking in all the newspaper articles from around the world, you limit yourself on the variety of topics covered. All newspaper articles are more or less focused on the same events in the world. Even if you take say text messages and emails and pump them through this type of machine, it's impossible to accurately analyze any personal texts because we each hold words (symbols) at different valuations. You would not be able to differentiate between serious communication and harmless chatter that contains the same words.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Contrast this with my image based mechanism, symbolic questioning hones in on a subset of images and there is a plethora of images that can be chosen to represent any answer. You don't get this type of variety and choice in an analysis of text. This is the key difference and preliminary experimentation shows that the image mechanism works.

Just food for thought, this is to say that the single person usage of the device I created can be used as a 9-11 event predictor. The caveat being that men of a certain caliber can use it to show future events that may end in disaster. These men would be the modern day jedi.

Getting back to the social aspect, when you allow two people to converse over randomly drawn images, they get to connect the dots in their own language. The random images may or may not have a message. In any case, two very special things happen. One is that people get to bond in their own language and level of understanding. There is a metaphysical bonding aspect over just communicating. Remember, socialization is done through the veil of anonymity and non-unique identifiers; so, there is social safety. The second is that if the random images contain a divine message, it allows the users to get to the point very fast.

Some of these messages will be divinely inspired. If you create standardized questions whose answers necessitate something about social bonding, you have a highly reproducible effect that is highly effective at revealing what separates and what binds us. Eight billion people now have the ability to safely ask each other questions and reasonably expect a divinely guided answer. This is the power of mediation in the images.

There is now a standard method for revealing the social bonds of society. These bonds represent what we know of each other that keeps us from fighting. It is the cultural connections that we live for. If we knew all the things that we can say to each other to keep us from fighting, there would be no war.

It has taken us thousands of years to reach the current level of societal bonding. Your regular use of Icebreakers to hold a potentially divinely sparked conversation about bonding will surely reveal thousands of years' worth of socialization daily. We can mine for the bonds of society. With this knowledge, we can avert war. You should be able to see the power of Icebreakers and the image-mediated conversation concept.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

The Statistical Argument for World Peace

This article aims to explain the statistical argument for world peace and demonstrate that world peace is guaranteed in an infinitely long time. It further highlights that the mass use of the World Peace Catalyst Fund's mobile application Icebreakers guarantees that world peace will come fast, possibly in the next two/three decades.

The World Peace Catalyst Fund has devised a strategy and design to catalyze the progress to world peace. Analogous to statistical mechanics, the World Peace Catalyst Fund has conjured up an argument that envisages the world moving towards a state where all countries are in mutual peace and harmony.

In statistical mechanics, the corollary is that a body may have a probability distribution to move in any of the four directions (25% up, 25% down, 30% left, 20% right). However, the direction that it actually moves in is the direction with the greatest probability (move left). Similarly, an argument based on statistics can be established such that the corollary is that the greatest probability lies with the world moving towards a peaceful state. The argument is as follows:

Consider the states that a single country and a pair of countries could be in, vis-à-vis each other. These states would be peaceful, neutral, or hostile. Following a discrete mathematical analysis of all the possible two-country combinations of the 196 countries in the world, there are 19,110 ways countries can be paired. To arrive at 19,110, I used the combinations with replacement formula written $196 \text{ choose } 2$. You don't have to take my word for it, you can research into the combinations with replacement formula – there is a lot written about it.

In each of those pairings, there are 9 ways the pair of countries can have their affairs (peaceful-peaceful, peaceful-neutral, peaceful-hostile, neutral-peaceful, neutral-neutral, neutral-hostile, hostile-peaceful, hostile-neutral, hostile-hostile). Out of those 9 ways, 4 lead toward peace, 4 toward tense relations and 1 toward full blown hostility. The tense relations can be assumed to be peaceful and transitory but not ideal. This makes it 8/9 (89%) toward a peaceful relationship and 1/9 (11%) toward a hostile relationship.

Extending this argument to all 19,110 country pairs, there are $9^{19,110}$ ways that countries can be engaged with each other. This number is too large to calculate; however, we know that 89% of these ways leads toward a peaceful relationship. Just like statistical mechanics, we can say that the world is moving towards world peace because that has the greatest probability. The question is not which way we are moving, it is when we will achieve world peace and how we can get there faster. Icebreakers is designed to catalyze this movement toward world peace.

So now, how is Icebreakers designed to do that? If you consider what needs to happen and what needs to be understood and known for us to be in a state of world peace, you quickly see that we have to converse and communicate with each other. What happens when we start conversing and communicating with each other? We learn about what separates and what binds us. When we know what separates and what binds us, we know what we can do together and what we can speak about to keep the peace and we know what to avoid to eschew conflict. So, what does Icebreakers have to do with this? Basically, Icebreakers is the easiest way to communicate with a stranger and further, it has the potential to quickly reveal to us what to speak about, so we uncover what binds us and what



World Peace Catalyst Fund

separates us. I deal with this in more depth in the articles titled 'Barriers to Communication' and 'The deal with images'. Read both of these to gain a better understanding of our argument for world peace.

In conclusion, the statistical argument shows that world peace is guaranteed, and it can be reasoned that Icebreakers will catalyze the movement toward world peace. We could see a strong semblance of world peace in the next two/three decades. Will you now download and use Icebreakers?



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Barriers To Communication

Question: What differentiates Icebreakers from current social media platforms? The answer lies in the analysis of communication barriers. Current social media platforms run on a similar basis, sharing interests, likes, and moments through a publicly visible profile. While current social media platforms cut back on many of the classical barriers to communication as noted in the diagram below, there remain many hurdles to communication that are not addressed. Icebreakers represents a step beyond current social media platforms because it specifically addresses the barriers to communication that remain in current social media platforms, as indicated in the diagram below. It enables unhindered cross-cultural conversations which allow for the vulcanization effect in the stride toward world peace.

The selling point for Icebreakers is, 'If there is one thing you could do to help bring about world peace, would you do it?' The one thing that could be done is holding cross-cultural conversations. The statistical argument for world peace shows that world peace is the end-state and the only way to get there is by conversing with each other. The more cross-cultural conversations we have, the faster we will achieve world peace. The question is what holds people back from holding cross-cultural conversations and what advantage does Icebreakers bring?

The diagram below shows the barriers to holding a cross-cultural conversation in the pre-telegram age to represent the classical barriers to communication, in the Internet/Social media age to represent the current barriers, and in the age of Icebreakers to represent the residual barriers to cross-cultural conversation. The diagram shows that the barriers are significantly reduced with Icebreakers, such that communicating just remains a chore to be done.

Pre-Telegram Age

Driving Forces	Language	Emotional
Likes/Dislikes	Gender	communication
Demographics	Sexual Orientation	barriers
Triggers/filters	Religion	Social/cultural
Education	Values/Beliefs	identities
Perceptions	Traditions	Ethnicity
Language Use/Jargon	Age	Time
Attitude	Social Status	Location/distance

Social Media Age



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Driving Forces

Likes/Dislikes

Demographics

Triggers/Filters

Education

Perceptions

Language Use/Jargon

Attitude

Language

Gender

Sexual Orientation

Religion

Values/Beliefs

Traditions

Age

Social Status

Emotional
communication
barriers

Social/cultural
Identities

Ethnicity

Time

Location/distance

Icebreakers Age

Driving Forces

Likes/Dislikes

Demographics

Triggers/Filters

Education

Perceptions

Language Use/Jargon

Attitude

Language

Gender

Sexual Orientation

Religion

Values/Beliefs

Traditions

Age

Social Status


Emotional
communication
barriers

Social/cultural
identities

Ethnicity

Time

Location/distance





World Peace Catalyst Fund

This graph is read like this: Imagine a person on the far right and a person on the far left of the graph. They want to meet in the middle. There is a hill they have to climb over to meet in the middle. Each age has a hill of a different height. The higher the hill, the harder it is to connect with the other person. Icebreakers provides the smallest hill and hence the least barriers to communication.

As you can see from the analysis, the only barriers to communication that social media addresses are time and location/distance. People still need to see acceptable ties to ethnicity, social/cultural identities, emotional communication barriers, social status, age, traditions, values/beliefs, religion, sexual orientation, gender, language, attitude, language use/jargon, perception, education, triggers/filters, demographics, likes/dislikes, and driving forces. While social media allows people to communicate with each other, it is very likely that they will not, unless they have a common interest, likes, or business reason to engage in socialization. Contrast this with Icebreakers, which has only driving forces as its barrier. All else being equal, given the driving force to the selling point, 'If there is one thing you could do to help bring about world peace, would you do it?', people are more likely to engage in cross-cultural conversations with Icebreakers than with traditional social media.

To highlight the reasons why Icebreakers reduces the communication barrier so much, I would like to discuss the facets of the program that make it special. These facets are that users remain anonymous, the conversation is fueled by the semiotic effect, and there is a translational element. Users remain anonymous in the cross-cultural conversation program. They only know non-unique demographic identifiers. The barriers (ethnicity, social/cultural identities, emotional communication barriers, social status, age, traditions, values/beliefs, religion, sexual orientation, gender, language, attitude, language use/jargon, perception, education, triggers/filters, demographics, likes/dislikes) go out the door when dealing with an anonymous, faceless stranger. When faced with the prompt 'If there is one thing you could do to help bring about world peace, would you do it?', users only need to select a participant from a different country.

Further, users do not need to create conversation topics or strain their brains about what to say. They can use pre-defined question/symbol sets and can name the symbology in the images. The image sets are likely to produce something symbolic between both users that can establish a meaningful conversation. These conversations over the different interpretations of the symbology presented within the images will enable ideas and cultural values to be exchanged. Even if the conversations are *non sequitur*, just the naming of symbology between users drives the cross-cultural conversation effect. We can say that two more people from different cultures have conversed with each other – that is one move closer toward world peace. The last point is that there will soon be a translational feature such that users can speak and read in their own language. This will enable more raw broken conversation structures which help to highlight the differences and similarities that we can expound on to extract the latent bonds of society.

I hope this answers satisfactorily the question of what differentiates Icebreakers from current social media platforms. I hope you can draw the following conclusion from the analysis: As much as social media has done to break down social constructs and barriers to world peace, it has done so for the removal of only 2 barriers. How much will Icebreakers change in the same amount of time, as it removes an additional 18 barriers! I think this is the next best thing the world has. It is not sexy or glamorous as



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Facebook, Snapchat, or Instagram; however, it is much more powerful and can get the world moving toward world peace much faster.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

The Power of Anonymity in Cross-Cultural Conversations

The purpose of this article is to explain why Icebreakers uses anonymity and the power behind it in cross-cultural conversations. I would like to show what anonymity does for socialization, compare it to the current methods of socialization, and show that all ways of conversation support world peace.

Icebreakers is a new semiotic social media app whose profile requirements are only non-unique identifiers. Users of this application are kept anonymous and pick each other by searching for demographics such as language and country. Users interact with each other by posing questions with symbols (as a universal language) to each other.

The users then receive a stream of images which constitutes a message they can both converse over. I show in the report 'Image-Mediated Conversations' that the image stream has a high likelihood of revealing the 'keys to society'.

I want to make the point that socializing anonymously over the internet enables you to preserve social currency. Social currency is our status in society: it is what we have developed and are comfortable with. It is hard-earned and we want to keep our social currency stable and safe. When you converse anonymously, there is no way to lose social status. It allows us to talk with people we don't normally interact with. It also opens up what we can say to each other.

I also want to make the point that using non-unique demographic identifiers preserves social currency and provides a way to connect cross-culturally. Non-Unique demographic identifiers provide a way to safely interact because nothing can be traced back to an individual. At the same time, non-unique identifiers provide a sense of identity. They provide a way for people to organize their social efforts and to clearly connect cross-cultural ideas.

Contrast this with the current methods of social media like Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, Snap Chat, and TikTok. They all require some face- or image-based profile and heavily publicize your posts, comments, and interactions. Further, we only comment and interact with posts we are interested in. This is a limitation in the scope of things we interact with. We won't engage with certain people. It is also easy for someone to retaliate against you if you post or comment on something they don't like. The current method, albeit popular, is unsafe and is not conducive to everyone.

I would like to illustrate the safety in using anonymity and non-unique identifiers, by highlighting all the ways a conversation can go in Icebreakers, which are three. They can hit it off, connect instantly and become friends. They can trade their contact information and move on to the next social media app. The next way, they find no connectors and remain neutral. When this happens, you can just move on to the next person. There was still an exchange which I argue does something for world peace on the metaphysical heart level. The last way is that the image stream produces some sort of angry exchange of words. In this case, there is no way to find out who you are and the risk that the person will retaliate is negligible. You simply move on to the next person and still reap the benefits at that metaphysical heart level. I can also point out that it is important to know what separates us and what brings us to angry exchanges in building the common understanding for world peace.

In conclusion, anonymity, and non-unique identifiers enable you to preserve social currency and allow you to engage with a more diverse range of people. Icebreakers enables heart-based communication.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

You can find someone you connect with at a heart-based level and then move on to the more familiar social media applications.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

What is Icebreakers?

Report 1. Storyboard




World Peace Catalyst Fund

Story Board

There are 8 steps you can take towards World Peace by using Icebreakers. Follow the story board below for more details on the step-by-step instructions to help catalyze world peace.

Step 1. Log into GWA and navigate to 'Find Another Heart' screen.





World Peace Catalyst Fund

Step 2. Enter the search details for the type of heart you are searching for. Click Search.

A screenshot of a mobile application interface titled "Search Users". The interface is set against a dark blue background with lighter blue input fields. At the top, there is a status bar showing the time as 8:59. Below the title bar, there are several search filters: "Year", "Month", and "Day" (all dropdown menus); "Gender" (dropdown menu); "Country" (dropdown menu with "Argentina" selected); "City" (dropdown menu with "Azul" selected); "Zip Code" (text input field); "Language" (dropdown menu); "Ethnicity" (dropdown menu); "Marital Status" (dropdown menu); "Previously Married" (dropdown menu); "Education Level" (dropdown menu); and "Income Level(USD)" (dropdown menu). A prominent "Search" button is located at the bottom of the filter section. The bottom of the screen features a navigation bar with four icons: a magnifying glass (labeled "Search Users"), a person with a plus sign, a group of people, and a speech bubble.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Step 3. Click on a user you wish to chat with.





World Peace Catalyst Fund

Step 4. Click on the 'Chat Request' button.






World Peace Catalyst Fund


Step 5. Enter the question you have and the symbols that represent the question. Click 'Send Request' button. For this example, I use Candle, Lute & Globe to represent the question 'What have you noticed about our culture that seems very different from theirs?'.


9:03


← Chat Request ⋮


Candle Lute Globe


 Globe ☒


 Griffin ☐

 Helmet ☐

 Horse ☐

 Hourglass ☐

 Lute ☒

 Madonna ☐


What have you noticed about our culture that seems very different from their own?

Send Request



World Peace Catalyst Fund


Step 6. Go to the 'Chat Request' window and select the chat you just started. You will have to wait for the other user to accept the chat before you can start chatting.





World Peace Catalyst Fund


Step 7. The other user accepts the chat request.






World Peace Catalyst Fund

Step 8. You both start chatting over the stream images and see if you connect or not. (When the translation feature is added, the conversations can take place in the users respective languages)





World Peace Catalyst Fund





World Peace Catalyst Fund

How?

Report I. Mass Systemic Questioning

Report II. Conversation Wells

Report III. Current Politics/Future Politics

Report IV. Case Study – Russia/Ukraine War

Report V. Final States



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Mass Systemic Questioning

This report aims to illustrate the effect of mass systemic questioning on mining the bonds of society. I would also like to identify the drivers of use and feasibility of popularity, as well as show how we can transcend levels of understanding. I urge you to use Icebreakers daily and to become a voice for its proliferation.

My first point is that we can formulate questions that produce a specific type of answer. The focus of the answers of our interest is the bonds of society. The following are examples of such questions that could produce bonds of society.

1. Describe how a holiday is celebrated.
2. What are the typical foods served in the culture?
3. How is divine power viewed concerning human effort?

We can ask questions like this systemically. By systemic, I mean that you can ask one of these questions to a different person each day. This can be done over your lifetime without repetition. With systemic cross-cultural questioning like this, we can home in on the bonds of society. Some of the answers will be divinely inspired, as we show in our Image Mediated Communication Report.

What happens when everyone starts doing this? I look and see that common themes amongst groups of people will emerge. For example, if thousands of Afghani and American citizens ask the same question, they may end up with the same answer. This answer becomes a bond of society that politicians can use. What happens if everyone starts using this on a mass scale daily? We start to 'mine' for the bonds of society and they become revealed to us daily.

I next want to point out that sometimes you need to sit with what you know before something new opens up. This is the natural revelation process. The bonds of society that we know today took generations of socialization to reveal themselves and even longer for us to understand how to use them. With the mass systemic questioning I propose, years of understanding can take place in a single day.

Why should you use Icebreakers? Well, besides world peace being the natural outcome of socialization like this, consider this verse from the Quran:

'Human beings, we created you all from a male and a female and made you into nations and tribes so that you may know one another. Verily the noblest of you in the sight of Allah is the most God-fearing of you. Surely Allah is All-Knowing, All-Aware.' (Quran 49:13)

That little snippet, 'made you into nations and tribes so that you may know one another' sounds like this is what God wants us to do. Who wants to get closer to him? When I think about this verse, it makes it seem like socializing the way I am proposing with Icebreakers, is work God has charged us with. I think your use of this app daily will bring you closer to Him, as you are fulfilling His charge. I have already shown that peace is the natural outcome of a long time based on the math of statistics. This thing is weird but effective. How popular can this thing become? Well, with your use, it may be the #1 social media app of all time, globally.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Lastly, I would like to point out that any engagement with Icebreakers pushes the world only in one direction, towards World Peace. There are three possible interactions when you use Icebreakers as a way to connect to other people.

1. You hate the other person's guts and what they say.
2. There is no connection and there is no hate (neutral interaction).
3. You instantly connect with the other person and want to develop a deeper relationship with them.

This is what I come up with and I want to point out that each interaction is safe and only pushes towards world peace. In the first instance, if you hate each other, no harm can come. As the socialization is done anonymously, the other person does not know enough about you to harm you. You simply move on to the next person. In addition, politicians still need to know what separates us, so this knowledge is useful. In the second instance, the knowledge may not be useful, however no harm again, and you move on to the next person. You just pushed for world peace by establishing a connection with someone, I say it does something on the metaphysical heart level. In the third instance, the knowledge of what bonds us is useful to politicians and you know the other person does not want to harm you. You can trade contact information with the other person and move on to other social media for deeper connections. In all three instances, the interaction is fast and direct. These are the only things that can happen by using Icebreakers. You have nothing to lose, but everything to gain.

In conclusion, mass systemic use of Icebreakers results in a reproducible targeted answering effect which can be dubbed social mining. It can be seen as a divine charge to learn as much as we can about each other, and Icebreakers is ideal for this, as it is a safe and effective way to socialize. This socialization on a mass scale can result in thousands of years' worth of understanding revealed in weeks to months. Please download and use Icebreakers. Please look into what you have just read with someone, to see whether it is the truth as I claim it to be. Remember, **You** have the power to change the future of the world.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Conversation Wells

The purpose of this report is to show the narrow focus of current political rhetoric when countries start to engage in hostile relations and the one-way direction in which the conversation is structured. Examples of countries engaging in hostile relations include Russia-Ukraine, Taiwan-China, Israel-Palestine, Armenia-Azerbaijan, etc. There is a general form of the conversation formed between any two hostilities. I want to show that knowledge of the social bonds of society allows us to break this cycle.

When two countries start to engage in hostile relations, there is a standard form of conversation and a quick escalation thereof. The standard form of conversation goes something like this: One country wants something from the other, usually land, and the other country resists. There is a one-way direction of the conversation and that is war or the escalation of using non-conventional weapons. This is a conversation well. I furnish specific details in the case study on Russia-Ukraine.

The reason there is a standard structure is mob mentality. Politicians successfully use their rhetoric to excite the masses. Once a mass group of people starts to think similarly, it becomes a mob mentality, which is hard to stop. War is the inevitable result and is supported through a mob mentality. This is the way mobs go unless they have pathways to think beyond the prevalent political rhetoric. These pathways are the social bonds of society known by the public.

If two countries socialize with each other enough, then they know enough about what they like and what they don't and know how to engage peacefully with each other. This knowledge is called the social bonds of society. This knowledge does not guarantee aversion to war but provides counter thoughts and arguments to hostile political rhetoric politicians try and push forth. The way it would manifest in reality is that the countries could not fight each other because they were so many people on either side who were friends with those on the other side.

This is social vulcanization. It is similar to the vulcanization procedure used to produce rubber tires. If you are unfamiliar, vulcanization is the process of adding sulfur to the rubber mixture which causes cross-linking and gives rubber its consistency and ductility. If enough people from two countries were friends with each other, they could not go to war with each other. There would be too many social cross-links which result in a 'bricking'. Politicians could not successfully get their people to engage in warfare because of the social consistency.

This goes back to the battle of good vs evil. People can choose which side they want to be on, pro-war or pro-peace. The knowledge of the social bonds of society would need to be held and enacted by the good people such that it becomes the dominant force and war is averted. This is the natural law of bigger people playing law keepers. This is how war is averted. When there is a critical number of good people enacting the social bonds of society, two countries cannot engage in warfare because they are friends with each other.

In conclusion, there is a standard structure of conversation between two hostile nations that has a one-way direction toward violence. This standard structure, I dub a conversation a well, as it is a deep hole. The political use of mob mentality makes it easy to start wars. Knowledge of the social bonds of society allows the masses to establish pathways out of mob mentality which bricks a politician's effort to start a



World Peace Catalyst Fund

war. Evidence of this is seen in the protests and sabotage done by Russian soldiers in the Russia-Ukraine war.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Current Politics/Future Politics

The purpose of this report is to compare/contrast the current mechanism of politics with the potential future mechanism of politics with knowledge revealed from Icebreakers. This point is important to understand for those who want power.

The current political mechanism can be described as follows. Politicians need to know about the people they preside over, they need money, and they need to make themselves known. They gain power by being elected because they appeal to the people based on their stance on policies. What they say and what they market is based on what they know of the people. This knowledge takes a lifetime to learn. A lot of what has become common knowledge has taken thousands of years to understand. These are the bonds of society. Only some of this knowledge is passed from generation to generation and it is further restricted because not everyone has the resources to gain it.

The new political mechanism whose impetus will be the newly formed body of knowledge gained from your use of Icebreakers can be described as follows. The 'bonds of society' that we previously noted take generations to learn, can be 'mined' daily on Icebreakers and made public for everyone to know and understand. Politicians and the public will have a common body of knowledge to apply to rule lands, which will be the 'bonds of society'.

This can lead to the proliferation of peaceful integration programs and trade. Politicians know what to say to keep people happy and peaceful. When a politician is out of line, for example by using what we know will cause us to fight, it will be easy to report and publicize the wrongdoing. Since the public knows the same body of knowledge, the intention of the politician becomes clear, and the politician becomes less favored and loses power. Politicians currently can use the bonds of society to retain power without regard to who is benefitted and harmed because there are no checks and balances. With the public holding the same body of knowledge as the politicians, it becomes harder for politicians to introduce unfair programs because public leaders can now act as the checks and balances.

I would also like to point out the power that social class holds. The social class is the new class of people that arrived with the advent of social media and compromises the class of people that socialize heavily. This class is growing every day and crosses all other classes. One of the characteristics of the social class is that they prefer socializing to war and conflict. You will find these people everywhere (civilian and military population) and social media has made them more powerful. The social class can stop wars immediately and can change political leadership immediately when successfully prompted because they are so mixed and can exert an influence that just changes the direction immediately.

A clear example of their power is in the Russia/Ukraine war of 2022. Since the fall of the USSR and the rise of social media, many Ukrainians and Russians had socialized together and formed strong bonds. These bonds were seen in the resistance to the war from the Russian civilians and soldiers through sabotage and protest. This was from the social class of people that knew that war was not right. While this did not ultimately stop the war, it illustrates the point that the newly formed social class has the power to stop a war.

One can theorize that when a critical number of people have socialized with one another, this class of people can stop wars immediately. That is, if the right amount of people know and enact the bonds of



World Peace Catalyst Fund

society, then the state of affairs is kept peaceful. Russia and Ukraine did not have the critical number of people who had socialized with each other, but nonetheless, the number of people that did socialize made their voice heard and their impact can be seen.

In conclusion, what I am trying to illustrate is that with the proliferation of Icebreakers, there will be a newly formed body of knowledge, 'The Bonds of Society', that the public and individual leaders can use to keep the peace. The concept applies to every region on earth. It allows the public to dynamically exert influence on politicians and enables a social class of people to keep the state of affairs peaceful even when there is mal influence by politicians.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Case Study: Russia-Ukraine War

The purpose of this report is to explain how the current social class used the bonds of society to counter the Russia-Ukraine war. The conflict is deep-rooted in history, but on February 24, 2022, Russia invaded Ukraine. Russia's stated goal was the 'demilitarization and denazification' of Ukraine; however, their actual goal was to gain control of as much of Ukraine as possible, including the Donbas region. Russia has its own interest in controlling territory that Ukraine holds. From the start, there was international condemnation and resistance from Ukraine. The number of soldiers and civilians from both sides who were killed or injured remains unclear, but estimates range from tens of thousands to hundreds of thousands.

I would like to describe the social class between Ukraine and Russia. This is the same type of social class I am trying to develop with the use of Icebreakers. Ukraine used to be a part of Russia; so, despite their differences, they already had strong bonds. They gained independence in 1990, around the time the Soviet Union fell. There have been about 30 years of socializing as two independent states, with 20 years' worth of social media use. This has created a class of people who recognized humanity in each other.

Let's discuss the results of this socialization over 30 years. There were early protests and riots by Russian citizens, as well as sabotage of equipment by Russian soldiers. How did the Russian soldiers feel about the war? There were early reports of demoralized soldiers angered at being 'duped' into war. I point out the power of social class in my report on politics. I show that there is a critical number of people in the two countries who need to socialize with each other to stop a war. Though this critical number was not met in the Russia-Ukraine war, their impact was felt and the voice of peace was heard.

In another report, I talk about standard conversation wells that evolve in conflict areas. Let's go over the conversation well that evolved in the Russia-Ukraine war. Each side had standard statements. Russia's included regular threats and demands to Ukraine and the West. The West imposed sanctions and reprimands for Russia's actions. They have traded the same types of statements for the past 7 months. As I point out in my report, this only leads to escalation, very fast. We have to a point where Russia was literally threatening to use a nuclear weapon. This is the standard course of action that conversations in conflict areas lead to. You see it between Taiwan and China, Israel, and Palestine, India, and Pakistan over Kashmir, and everywhere else there is conflict.

These concepts are universal. All 19,110 country pairs have the same forces opposing each other. The socialized groups recognize brotherhood and see more ways toward a peaceful resolution. The world has naturally moved toward mutual socializing. Social media helps, but could reduce the communication barrier only by 10% and globalization helps, but takes time. Icebreakers reduces the amount of time because the interactions are guided and quick.

In conclusion, we need people to engage with others. Each country pair needs to have the social class to be above the critical number to avert war. How will we get there? I propose the mass use of Icebreakers. Icebreakers reduces the communication barrier by 90% and allows people to get to the point of what separates and what bonds us fast. The popularization of Icebreakers and its consistent use by you will catalyze world peace.



World Peace Catalyst Fund

Final States

The purpose of this report is to propose a way forward to the governance of a peaceful world society. I suggest a 1-country solution with all current countries becoming states. Each state would then rule according to its own liking (Communism/Capitalism). The governing principle that I am applying is that things tend to move towards unity.

First, let me point out that the United Nations is the largest multi-national political block. The United Nations was created soon after World War II to maintain international peace and security and develop friendly relations among nations. Countries of all types (communist/capitalist) meet and discuss foreign affairs and political rulings. I just want to note that this looks like a set up for a bigger world establishment with a governing body.

The next thing I want to point out is that the final state of communism is theoretically capitalism. While there were early feuds between capitalistic and communist states (cold war), it appears as though we are in the final stages of communism. A lot of communist countries seem to have adopted many capitalistic characteristics (Russia/China). Communism is not much of a problem nowadays for the West, except for their civil rights issues and treatment of their civilians.

The Western democracy model, like the United States, seems to work and can be adapted and replicated by most countries. Applying the model to the UN, what if the UN becomes a World Congress, where we have senators and a House of Representatives? Each country becomes a state in this system and gets to rule its land as it wishes (communist/capitalist).

In conclusion, while there is a natural progression toward peace, we must consider a governing system that the world can use to work together. My 1-country solution may be a natural result and the way we are gravitating. It will not be without problems, but it creates a unified whole which makes wars harder to fight. This is just a proposed ideal solution; who knows what the future will hold! This would be a quasi-democratic state.